Application No. 10/027,814 Amendment dated January 25, 2005 Reply to Final Office Action of November 26, 2004

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The above identified Final Office Action has been received, the references carefully considered, and the Examiner's comments carefully weighed. Applicant has thoroughly reviewed the outstanding Final Office Action including the Examiner's remarks and the references cited therein. Applicant has amended claims 2-4, 6, 10, 13-15 and 17 to be dependent on claim 16, which has been amended and should be allowable, and has cancelled claims 1 and 12. The amendments made to these claims are based on the application and drawings as originally filed. It is respectfully submitted that no new matter is added. In this regard, it is contended that all bases of rejection set forth in the Final Office Action have been traversed and overcome. Accordingly, reconsideration and allowance of all pending claims are respectfully requested in view of the following remarks.

Objection to Claim 16

According to the Final Office Action, claim 16 has been objected to because there is insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation "the region of reduced height" contained therein. Applicant has amended claim 16 to include proper antecedent basis for the "the region of reduced height" element recited therein. Accordingly, claim 16 should be in condition for allowance.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103

According to the Office Action, claims 1, 2, 4-6, 10, 12-15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Onozaki (JP 11-280548) ("Onozaki") in view of Adams (USPN 2,437,013) ("Adams"); claims 1, 2, 4-6, 10, 12-15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moller (USPN 4,395,982) ("Moller") in view of Adams; claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Onozaki in view of Adams as applied to claims 1, 2, 4-6, 10, 12-15 and 17, and further in view of Hofbauer et al. (USPN 4,296,716) ("Hofbauer"); claims 7-9 and 18-

Page 6 of 8

Application No. 10/027,814

Amendment dated January 25, 2005

Reply to Final Office Action of November 26, 2004

21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Onozaki in view of Adams as applied

to claims 1, 2, 4-6, 10, 12-15 and 17, and further in view of Takubo (USPN 4,770,276) ("Takubo"); claim

3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moller in view of Adams as applied to

claims 1, 2, 4-6, 10, 12-15 and 17, and further in view of in view of Hofbauer; and claims 7-9, and 18-21

are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moller in view of Adams as applied to

claims 1, 2, 4-6, 10, 12-15 and 17, and further in view of Takubo. Applicant respectfully traverses each

of the aforesaid grounds for rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103, for the reasons set forth below.

Applicant has amended claim 16 (which was objected to but not rejected in the Final Office

Action) and believes claim 16 is now in condition for allowance. As discussed above, Applicant has

amended claims 2-4, 6, 10, 13-15 and 17 to be dependent on claim 16, and has cancelled claims 1 and 12.

Since claim 16 is allowable, for the reasons discussed above, all of the currently pending claims from

claim 16 should also be allowable.

Entry of Amendment After Final

It is respectfully submitted that the present amendment should be entered in accordance with the

provisions of 37 C.F.R. Section 1.116 on the grounds that: (1) The claims as now presented are in better

form for appeal purposes, if necessary; (2) no new issues have been raised; (3) and, moreover, the present

amendment is believed to place the application in condition for allowance.

Conclusion

It is respectfully submitted that Applicant has responded in a fully satisfactory manner to all

matters at issue in this Application, and that this Application is now in condition for allowance. In this

regard, Applicant has made every effort to comply with the requirements set forth in the Final Office

Page 7 of 8

Application No. 10/027,814
Amendment dated January 25, 2005
Reply to Final Office Action of November 26, 2004

Action as well as the statutory requirements. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner enter this Amendment, allow the claims, and pass the Application to issue.

Applicant respectfully submit that claims 2-11 and 13-21 are in a condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, he is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 433-7570. Applicant believes there are no fees due for this document; however, if any fees are due the Patent Office is hereby authorized to charge or refund any fee deficiency or excess to Deposit Account No. 04-1061 in the name of Dickinson Wright PLLC.

Respectfully submitted,

Dickinson Wright PLLC Attorneys for Applicant(s)

Date: January 25, 2005

Michael A. Schaldenbrand

Reg. No. 47,923

Dickinson Wright PLLC 1901 L Street NW, Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20036 (248) 433-7570

MAS/cmw

BLOOMFIELD 27006-2 667714